When a single corporate entity has several different substance use disorder (SUD) treatment programs, do the federal confidentiality regulations regarding SUD treatment information (42 CFR Part 2) permit internal communications of Part 2-protected records between staff at different programs?

**What You Need to Know**

To improve treatment outcomes and promote continuity of care, it may be essential for staff at different programs within the same corporate entity to share client information about treatment for substance use disorder.

In this case, federal law permits disclosures of Part 2-protected information among staff at multiple programs under direct administrative control of a single umbrella entity, in connection with duties arising out of diagnosis, treatment and referral.

Programs may disclose information only to those personnel who need to know the information in furtherance of their duties.1

**Additional Background Information**

The federal confidentiality law for substance use disorder patient records, 42 CFR Part 2 (“Part 2”), generally prohibits substance use disorder treatment programs2 and certain recipients of information from disclosing patient records or patient-identifying information except in certain circumstances.3

One such exception is for internal communications. Part 2 permits program personnel to share patient-identifying information with one another or with an entity that has direct administrative control over the program on a need-to-know basis.4 The need for the information must be connected with duties “arising out of” the diagnosis, treatment, or referral for treatment of patients with substance use disorder.5

When a single entity has separate substance use disorder treatment facilities, including facilities that operate with separate licenses, the internal communications exception permits communications between facilities, according to guidance from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

Shortly after Part 2 was first implemented, HHS issued several official sub-regulatory guidance letters indicating that multiple programs under one corporate entity constitute a single “program” for purposes of the internal communication exception:
Internal Communications within a Program

• “...[A]n umbrella agency and separate organizational units under it may be considered within the same ‘program’ so long as the individual units are under the umbrella agency’s direct administrative control.” Opinion Letter 82-14, Letter to Dallas County MHMR Center (April 12, 1982).

• “We agree that since the [San Francisco Bureau of Alcoholism] has direct administrative control over the civil service units providing the services, the Bureau and those units are one program .... Therefore, communications of information between or among personnel in the Bureau and in the civil service units having a need for such information in connection with their duties would not ... constitute a disclosure of records.”


The regulatory definition of “program” has been amended since these letters were issued, but not in a way that impacts the rationale of these HHS guidance letters.

Federal law therefore permits disclosures of Part 2-protected information among staff at multiple programs under direct administrative control of a single umbrella entity, in connection with duties arising out of diagnosis, treatment and referral. Programs may disclose information only to those personnel who need to know the information in furtherance of their duties.

For More Information

Resources
This resource is one of many that are available within the Center of Excellence for Protected Health Information’s resource library which can be found at coephi.org.

Request Technical Assistance
You can request brief, individualized technical assistance and join our mailing list for updates, including news about the publication of new resources and training opportunities, here.

Disclaimer

Resources, training, technical assistance, and any other information provided through the Center of Excellence for Protected Health Information do not constitute legal advice. For legal advice, including legal advice on other applicable state and federal laws, please seek out local counsel.

Funding for the Center of Excellence for Protected Health Information was made possible by grant no. 1H79TI081743-01 from SAMHSA. The views expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the official policies of the Department of Health and Human Services; nor does mention of trade names, commercial practices, organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.
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